

REMARKS

Claims 1 – 31 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 13, 14 and 21 are amended in this response.

Claim Objections

Claim 21 is amended to remove the informality.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC 112

The claims were rejected under 35 USC 112 second paragraph. Claims 1, 13, 14, and 21 have been amended to provide the necessary antecedent basis or clarity.

In claim 13 the Examiner rejects the claim for reciting the phrase "such as". The passage in the MPEP refers to the case in which "such as" precedes a choice of items that qualify a preceding phrase by example, thereby making it unclear whether the qualifications in fact limit the claim. In the present claim the term "such as" is used in the sense of thereby and the issue raised in the MPEP does not apply. However in the interests of expeditious grant of the application the term has been rephrased.

Claim 21 now refers to a copy of a part of a regularly changing bitstream. It is believed that the current wording is now clear. That is to say the bitstream changes regularly and a part thereof is selected and a copy made.

The remaining claims mentioned in this section of the Office Action are believed to be allowable as being dependent on an allowable main claim.

All of the matters raised by the Examiner have been dealt with and are believed to have been overcome.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that all the claims now pending in the application are allowable.

An early Notice of Allowance is therefore respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Martin D. Moynihan
Registration No. 40,338

Date: January 9, 2008

Enclosure:

- Petition for Extension of Time (Three Months)